S&H Form: (12/04)

1293.1853 Attorney Docket No. 10/657,714 **Application Number REPLY/AMENDMENT** Filing Date September 9, 2003 **FEE TRANSMITTAL** Kyung pill KO First Named Inventor

•				Invento							
	Group Art Unit		267	2676							
AMOUNT ENCLOSED			0.00	Examiner Name		Blackman, Anthony			/ J.		
	*	FEE C	ALCULA	TION (fe	es effective 1	2/08/	(04)				
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For		Number Extra		Rate	Rate Calc		ulations	
TOTAL CLAIMS	35		- 35 =		0		X \$ 50.00	0 =	\$ 0.00		
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS		12		12 =	0		X \$ 200.0	00 =	0.00		
Since an Offic hereby made the series of the	or an ext d (1 mont nonths (\$	ension to cov th (\$120)); (2 2,160):	ver the date	te this rep \$450)); (3	oly is filed for w	/hich	the requis	site s			
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)											
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130.00) Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180.00)											
Total of above Calculations =									\$	0.00	
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)											
TOTAL FEES DUE =									\$	0.00	
(1) If entry (1) is less (2) If entry (2) is less (4) If entry (4) is less (5) If entry (5) is less	than 20, chang than entry (5),	ge entry (2) to "20". entry (6) is "0".									
(5) 11 (5) 11 (5)			ME	THOD OF	PAYMENT						
Check er	nclosed as	s payment.		****							
	Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.										
No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).											
					HORIZATION					11 11	
any ove	any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to: Deposit Account No. Deposit Account Name STAAS & HALSEY LLP										
37 CFR any rela continua	1.16 (filin ted applic ations/divis to mainta	g fees) or 37 C ation(s) claimin sionals/CIPs un ain pendency h	CFR 1.17 (png benefit lander 37 CF ereof or of	processing hereof pur FR 1.53(b)	g fees) during the suant to 35 USC and/or continua related applicat	e pro: C § 12 ations	secution of 20 (e.g.,	this	applica	ition, including	
Typed Name						F	Reg. No.	47,4	131		
Cianatura	P	11.10	1.			Г	Date	Fe	huan	24.2005	

Haul W. K. Lun Date February Company Signature

Docket No.: 1293.1853

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kyung pill KO

Serial No. 10/657,714

Group Art Unit: 2676

Confirmation No. 8736

Filed: September 9, 2003

Examiner: Blackman, Anthony J.

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR ADJUSTING BRIGHTNESS AND COLOR

TEMPERATURE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed January 24, 2005 and having a shortened period for response set to expire on February 24, 2005, the following remarks are provided.

I. Provisional Election of Claims Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.142

Applicant provisionally elects Group I, claims 1-14 in response to the preliminary restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action.

II. Applicant Traverses the Requirement

Insofar as Groups II, III, and IV are concerned, it is believed that claims 15-35 are so closely related to elected claims 1-14 that they should remain in the same application. The elected claims 1-14 are directed to an apparatus for adjusting brightness on a screen. Claims 15-23 and 28-35 are drawn to a method of adjusting brightness on screeen, a method of display adjustment on a screen, and a computer-readable medium encoded with instructions for implementains a method of adjusting brightness on a screen. Claims 24-27 are drawn to a display adjusting apparatus for a display.

MPEP §803 sets forth that "if the search and examineation of an entire application can be made without a serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions." Applicant submits that the Examiner

would find references for the contended "combination and subcombination" in the same field of technology. It is believed, moreover, that evaluation of all sets of claims would not provide an undue burden upon the Examiner at this time in comparison with the additional expense and delay to Applicant in having to protect the additional subject matter recited by the Group II, Group III, and Group IV claims by filing a divisional application(s).

The Examiner has not set forth why there would be a serious burden if restriction is required.

Even if the Examiner considers claims 23-28 and claims 29-38 to be a separate invention(s) from claims 1-22, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to consider claims 15-23 and 28-35 (Group II), claims 24-25 (Group III), and claims 26-27 (Group IV) together.

III. Conclusion

Upon review of references involved in this field of technology, Applicant submits it is upon reconsideration of the Examiner's initial restriction requirement, all of the pending claims should be examined in the subject application.

In view of the foregoing amendments, arguments and remarks, all claims are deemed to be allowable and this application is believed to be in condition for allowance.

If any further fees are required in connection with the filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our deposit account number 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: February 24,2005

Bv:

Paul W. Bobowiec

Registration No. 47,431

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Ste. 700 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 434-1500